|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1. Spending | Demonstrates draws against grant that are consistent in amount and timing. Funds are completely spent by year end and no funds were recaptured. | Demonstrates draws against grant that are consistent in amount and timing. <25% of grant was recaptured. | Demonstrates draws against grant that are consistent in amount and timing. Between 25-75% of grant was recaptured. | Demonstrates draws against grant that are inconsistent in amount and timing. >75% of grant was recaptured. | Does not provide evidence of draws against grant. Did not spend any funds. |
| 2. Organizational audit/financial review | No independent audit findings were reported. | Audit findings do not indicate misconduct and led to demonstrated process improvement.  | Audit findings do not indicate misconduct and Resulted in corrective action not yet implemented. | Audit findings indicate misconduct that may result in recapturing of funds. | Audit findings indicate misconduct that resulted in recapturing of funds/audit not completed. |
| 3. HUD Monitoring  | No HUD monitoring findings were reported. | HUD monitoring findings resulted in process improvement and have been submitted to HUD and approved. | HUD monitoring findings resulted in process changes that have been submitted to HUD for approval. | HUD monitoring findings resulted in process changes that were submitted to HUD and not approved. | HUD monitoring findings resulted/will result in recapturing of HUD funds. |
| 4.CoC Membership activity | Agency is involved in 5 or more on-going CoC related initiatives. | Agency is involved in 4 on-going CoC related initiatives. | Agency is involved in 3 on-going CoC related initiatives. | Agency is involved in 2 on-going CoC related initiatives. | Agency is involved in 1 or fewer on-going CoC initiatives. |
| 5.HMIS data entry performance (APR-Q6a) | Data quality >90%. (percent of error rate <10%) | Data quality 90%<87% (percent of error rate 13%<10%) | Data quality 87%<84% (percent of error rate 16%<13%) | Data quality 84%<81% (percent of error rate 19%<16%) | Data quality 81%<78% (percent of error rate 22%<19%) |
| 6. Cost effectiveness-Divide total project costs (collected from each project using APR Q23a + Q23b as the bottom number and the total grant as the top number and compare it to 5% of total grant) by number of permanent housing exits/PSH remain in or move to PH for non-housing programs use total amount of persons served | Costs are within 5% of average cost per positive housing exit for project type. | Costs are 5.5%<5% of average cost per positive housing exit for project type. | Costs are 6%<5.5% of average cost per positive housing exit for project type. | Costs are 6.5%<6% of average cost per positive housing exit for project type. | Costs are 7%<6.5% of average cost per positive housing exit for project type. |
| 7. Service Delivery for Special Populations (Victim Services, Chronic Homeless, Veterans, Youth, LGBTQ, Individuals with Disability, Families with children). | Project serves all 7 special populations. | Project serves 6 of 7 special populations. | Project serves 5 of 7 special populations. | Project serves 4 of 7 special populations. | Project serves 3 or fewer special populations. |
| 8.A Serve Priority Populations (zero income Q16) | RR/TH->50% of participants with zero income. PSH-->80% of participants with zero income. | RR/TH-47%>50% of participants with zero income PSH—77%>80% of participants with zero income. | RR/TH-44%>47% of participants with zero income PSH—74%>77% of participants with zero income. |  RR/TH-41%>44% of participants with zero income PSH—71%>74% of participants with zero income. | RR/TH-38%>41% of participants with zero income PSH—68%>71% of participants with zero income. |
| 9. Serve Priority Populations (disability Q13a2) | RR/TH-> 50% of participants with more than one type of disability.PSH->75% of participants with more than one type of disability. | RR/TH-47%>50% of participants with more than one type of disability.PSH-72%>75% of participants with more than one type of disability. | RR/TH-44%>47% of participants with more than one type of disability.PSH-69%>72% of participants with more than one type of disability. | RR/TH-41%>44% of participants with more than one type of disability.PSH-66%>69% of participants with more than one type of disability. | RR/TH-38%>41% of participants with more than one type of disability.PSH-63%>66% of participants with more than one type of disability. |
| 10. Length of Staya. Rapid Re-Housing: Calculate the number of days from project referral to lease up (CAPER 22c)b. Transitional Housing: Calculate the number of days from project referral to TH placement (APR Q22b)  | RR <15 days- participants are placed in housing less than 15 days after referral to RR.TH<180 days-participants are placed in housing less than 180 days after referral to TH. | RR 15>31 days- participants are placed in housing less than 31 days but more than 15 days after referral to RR.TH 180>185 days-participants are placed in housing less than 185 days but more than 180 days after referral to TH. | RR 31>40 days- participants are placed in housing less than 40 days but more than 31 days after referral to RR.TH 185>190 days-participants are placed in housing less than 190 days but more than 185 days after referral to TH. | RR 40>50 days- participants are placed in housing less than 50 days but more than 40 days after referral to RR.TH 190>195 days-participants are placed in housing less than 195 days but more than 190 days after referral to TH. | RR 50>60days- participants are placed in housing less than 60 days but more than 50 days after referral to RR.195>200 days-participants are placed in housing less than 200 days but more than 195 days after referral to TH. |
| 11. Serve Priority Populations (Unsheltered Q15) | RR/TH-->50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation.PSH-->75% of participants entering project from place not meant for habitation. | RR/TH-47%>50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation.PSH-72%>75% of participants entering project from place not meant for habitation. | RR/TH-44%>47% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation.PSH-69%>72% of participants entering project from place not meant for habitation. | RR/TH-41%>44% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation.PSH-66%>69% of participants entering project from place not meant for habitation. | RR/TH-38%>41% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation.PSH-63%>66% of participants entering project from place not meant for habitation. |
| 12. Utilization Rate (HIC) | Program utilized >90% available slots/beds. | Program utilized 90%<85% available slots/beds. | Program utilized 85%<80% available slots/beds. | Program utilized 80%<75% available slots/beds. | Program utilized 75%<70% available slots/beds. |
| 13. Permanent Housing Destinations (APR-Q23a &23b) | >90% RR to PH.>90% PSH remain in or move to PH.>90% TH to PH. | 87%>90% RR to PH.87%>90% PSH remain in or move to PH%.87%>90% TH to PH. | 84%>87% RR to PH.84%>87% PSH remain in or move to PH%.84%>87% TH to PH. | 81%>84% RR to PH.81%>84% PSH remain in or move to PH%.81%>84% TH to PH. | 78%>81% RR to PH.78%>81% PSH remain in or move to PH%.78%>81% TH to PH. |
| 14. Returns to homelessness(ART SPM folder “returns to homelessness” July 1-June 30) | Within 12 months of exit to PH <15% participants return to homelessness. | Within 12 months of exit to PH 15%<17% participants return to homelessness. | Within 12 months of exit to PH 17%<19% participants return to homelessness. | Within 12 months of exit to PH 19%-21% participants return to homelessness. |  Within 12 months of exit to PH 21%-23% participants return to homelessness. |
| 15. New or Increase Earned Income for project stayers (Q19a1) | >8%+ increase. | 7.5%>7.9% increase. | 7.3%>7.5% increase. | 7.1%>7.3% increase. | 6.9%>7.1% increase. |
| 16.New or Increase Earned Income for project leavers (Q19a2) | >8%+ increase. | 7.5%>7.9% increase. | 7.3%>7.5% increase. | 7.1%>7.3% increase. | 6.9%>7.1% increase. |
| 17.New or Increase non-employment Income for project stayers (Q19a1) | ~~>~~10% increase. | 8%>9.9% increase. | 6%>8% increase. | 4%>6% increase. | 2%>4% increase. |
| 18. New or Increase non-employment Income for project leavers (Q19a2) | ~~>~~10% increase. | 8%>9.9% increase. | 6%>8% increase. | 4%>6% increase. | 2%>4% increase. |
| 19. Provides a Housing First Approach -prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing that does not have service participation requirements or preconditions (barriers such as sobriety or minimum income)  | Project demonstrates barrier free entry and does not have service participation requirements or preconditions as evidenced in project application and agency project policy. |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Provides a Coordinated Assessment System/ Participates in coordinated entry | Project demonstrates an assessment system of client needs and prioritization of assistance/referral. |  |  |  |  |
| 21. Project has documented secured minimum match and is financially feasible. (budget and match letter) | Documentation of secured minimum match provided. Project budget is financially feasible. |  |  |  |  |